TREATMENTS FOR NOISE-INDUCED HEARING LOSS

August, 2005

Prepared for:

Safety and Health in Arts Production and Entertainment (SHAPE) Suite 280 - 1385 West 8th Avenue Vancouver, BC V6H 3V9

Prepared by:

Jadine Thom, Cheryl Peters, Elaina McIntyre, Meghan Winters, Kay Teschke, Hugh Davies* School of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene 2206 East Mall Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z3

*Corresponding author

This literature review was undertaken to review the status of research on available treatments for noise-induced hearing loss (NIHL) on behalf of Safety & Health in Arts Production and Entertainment (SHAPE). This review focuses on the studies that have exhibited a positive effect on NIHL in humans and that have been published since 1985 (see Appendix 1 for literature search strategies).

Results

There are a number of different treatments for NIHL that have been and are being researched. While most of these treatments are pharmaceutical in nature, some are not. Table 1 lists the treatments and the rationale for their effectiveness.

Treatment	Theory/Effects	Reference(s)
Corticosteroids (synthetic	Improve the microcirculation in	(Duan, Ulfendahl et al. 2002)
hormones)	the cochlea after acute noise	
	trauma.	(
Blood flow promoting drugs (e.g.	Increase the blood flow through	(Duan, Ulfendahl et al. 2002)
epinephrine, dextran pentoxifylline	the cochlea when administered	(Miller, Laurikainen et al. 1994)
and hydroxylethyl starch)	after acute noise trauma.	()
Oxygen	Reduces hearing threshold shifts	(Duan, Ulfendahl et al. 2002)
	and hair cell loss following impulse	
	noise trauma.	
Neurotrophins (e.g. nerve growth	Stimulate auditory nerve re-growth	(Miller 2004)
factor, brain-derived nerve growth	and protect from sensorineural	(Duan, Ulfendahl et al. 2002)
factor, neurotrophin-3 and glial	hearing loss.	
cell line-derived neurotrophic		
Anti-oxidants and scavengers	Remove reactive oxygen species	(Duan, Ulfendani et al. 2002)
	which might be involved in hoise	
	L'AUIIIA.	(Decen Hilferndels) et al 2002)
Giutamate receptor antagonists	receptors are over-stimulated	(Duan, Ulfendani et al. 2002)
	during noise trauma. Antagonists	
	will reduce this over-stimulation	
	and also any negative effects on	
	hearing.	
Gene therapy	Uses viral vectors or liposomes to	(Duan, Ulfendahl et al. 2002)
	deliver nucleic acids (e.g.	
	transgenic neurotrophin) to the	
	cochlea.	

Table 1 -	Treatments	for NIHL
-----------	------------	----------

Discussion and Conclusion

Of these treatments, the blood flow-promoting drugs were thought to be the most promising, with the dextran pentoxifylline being tested in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled human trial. (Probst, Tschopp et al. 1992) However, the effects were not found to be significantly different from effects of non-treatment. Since this study, the research on cochlear blood flow promoting drugs seems to have been abandoned.

Neurotrophins seem to be the "next big thing" in treatment and prevention of sensorineural hearing loss, with a new patent (Miller 2004) registered last year which proposed the use of a glial cell line to promote the regrowth of nerves in the cochlea. While still in the animal testing phases (guinea pigs and chinchillas), the fact that they registered for a patent indicates that they are confident in their results, and we might expect some human studies to be conducted within the next couple of years.

In conclusion, it appears that there is a number of promising treatment strategies for noise induced hearing loss. While none of the treatments have made it successfully through clinical trials yet, some treatments (most notably neurotrophins) may progress to this stage in the next couple of years. In the meantime, the best line of defence against noise-induced hearing loss is still prevention through reducing noise exposure.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge the guidance and advice provided by Ms. Linda Kinney of SHAPE.

References

- Duan, M. L., M. Ulfendahl, et al. (2002). "Protection and treatment of sensorineural hearing disorders caused by exogenous factors: experimental findings and potential clinical application." <u>Hear Res</u> 169(1-2): 169-78.
- Miller, J. M., E. A. Laurikainen, et al. (1994). "Epinephrine-induced changes in human cochlear blood flow." <u>Am J Otol</u> **15**(3): 299-305; discussion 305-6.
- Miller, J. M. R. A. (2004). Auditory nerve protection and re-growth. US2004247570. A61K45/00.
- Probst, R., K. Tschopp, et al. (1992). "A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of dextran/pentoxifylline medication in acute acoustic trauma and sudden hearing loss." <u>Acta Otolaryngol</u> 112(3): 435-43.

Appendix 1: Literature Search Strategy

Four bibliographic databases were used to identify the literature for this review: PubMed, CCINFOWeb, Compendex/Inspec, and Web of Science. PubMed, produced by the U.S. National Library of Medicine, specializes in health literature. CCINFOWeb, produced by the Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety, specializes in occupational health and safety literature. Compendex contains information on engineering, and some noise measurement papers were located using this database. The search was conducted in February 2005 and employed combinations of the following keywords: noise and exposure, drug, pharmaceutical, hearing, noise-induced hearing loss, therapy and treatment. In addition, a significant portion of the literature cited within this review was identified through pearling, or hand searching of references found within other papers. We excluded articles that were written in languages other than English and French. Finally, with respect to potential control measures, a patent search was conducted using similar search terms.